arXiv:1402.2317v2 [math.DS] 24 Oct 2014

DYNAMICS OF COVERING MAPS OF THE ANNULUS I: SEMICONJUGACIES.

J.IGLESIAS, A.PORTELA, A.ROVELLA AND J.XAVIER

Abstract. It is often the case that a covering map of the open annulus is semiconjugate to a map of the circle of the same degree. We investigate this possibility and its consequences on the dynamics. In particular, we address the problem of the classification up to conjugacy. However, there are examples which are not semiconjugate to a map of the circle, and this opens new questions.

1. Introduction.

Let A be the open annulus $(0,1)\times S^1$. If $f : A \to A$ is a continuous function, then the homomorphism f_* induced by f on the first homology group $H_1(A,\mathbb{Z}) \equiv \mathbb{Z}$, is $n \to dn$, for some integer d. This number d is called the degree of f. If f is a covering map, any point $x \in A$ has an open neighborhood U such that $f^{-1}(U)$ is a disjoint union of $|d|$ open sets, each of which is mapped homeomorphically onto U by f.

In this article we consider the dynamics of covering maps $f : A \to A$ of degree d, with $|d| > 1$. Our interest is focused on the existence of a semiconjugacy with $m_d(z) = z^d$ acting on S^1. In general, looking for semiconjugacies with maps with known features is useful to classify, to find periodic orbits, to calculate entropy.

Definition 1. *A continuous map $f : T \to T$, is semiconjugate to $g : T' \to T'$ (where T and T' stand for the annulus or the circle) if there exists a continuous map $h : T \to T'$ such that $hf = gh$ and h_* is an isomorphism.*

The case of coverings where $d = \pm 1$ (i.e annulus homeomorphisms) has been extensively studied. In particular, much work has been devoted to use the rotations as models for the dynamics of other homeomorphisms. That is, is it possible to decide if a homeomorphism of the annulus that is isotopic to the identity is conjugate or semi-conjugate to a rotation?

The case of the circle is classical: An orientation-preserving homeomorphism of the circle is semi - conjugate to an irrational rotation if and only if its rotation number is irrational, and if and only if it has no periodic points [Poin]. Poincaré's construction of the rotation number can be generalized to a *rotation set* for homeomorphisms of the (open or closed) annulus that are isotopic to the identity (see, for example [BCLP]). If one focuses on pseudo-rotations, the class of annulus homeomorphisms whose rotation set is reduced to a single number α, it is natural to ask the following question: how much does the dynamics of a pseudo-rotation of irrational angle α look like the dynamics of the rigid rotation of angle α? (see [BCLP], [BCL] for results on this subject). Even in the compact case (i.e irrational pseudo-rotations of the closed annulus) it is known that the dynamics is not conjugate to that of the rigid rotation. Furthermore, examples that are not even semi-conjugate to a rotation in the circle can be constructed using the method of

Anosov and Katok [AK] (see [FatHe], [FayK], [FayS], [Ha], [He] for examples and further developments about this method).

In contrast, any continuous map of the closed annulus of degree d ($|d| > 1$) is semi conjugate to z^d on S^1 (see Corollary 5 in Section 2.2). In Section 2 we generalize the concept of rotation number to endomorphisms (continuous maps) of the circle and the annulus and show that it is a continuous map onto S^1 that semi-conjugates with z^d. However, this is no longer true without compactness. We construct examples of degree d covering maps of the open annulus that are not semiconjugate to z^d on the circle.

The results obtained in [IPRX] give further motivation for our study, as covering maps of the annulus arise naturally when studying surface attractors. A connected set Λ is an *attracting set* of an endomorphism f on a manifold M if there exists a neighborhood U of Λ such that the closure of $f(U)$ is a subset of U and $\Lambda = \cap_{n\geq 0} f^n(U)$. The attracting set is called *normal* if f is a local homeomorphism of U and the restriction of f to Λ is a covering of Λ.

Let M be a compact surface, f an endomorphism of M and Λ a normal attractor of f. Assume that f is $d:1$ in Λ. Then, it is shown in [IPRX] that the immediate basin $B_0(\Lambda)$ of Λ is an annulus and the restriction of f to it is a $d:1$ covering map. Moreover, if A is an invariant component of $B_0(\Lambda) \setminus \Lambda$, then A is also an annulus and f is a $d:1$ covering of A. Finally, if Λ is a hyperbolic (normal) attractor, then Λ is homeomorphic to a circle and the restriction of f to Λ is conjugate to $z \to z^d$ in S^1.

Several questions arise naturally:

(1) Is the restriction of a map f to the immediate basin of a normal attracting set semiconjugate to $p_d(z) = z^d$ in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$?
(2) Is the restriction of f to the immediate basin of Λ semiconjugate to $z \to z^d$ in S^1?
(3) Is the restriction of f to A semiconjugate to $m_d(z) = z^d$ in S^1?

We address all of these questions in this paper. The answer to (1) is no, while the answer to (2) and (3) is yes; the proof of these statements is contained in Section 4. The more general question whether or not any covering map of the open annulus is semiconjugate to m_d has a negative answer as was already pointed out, and a counterexample is given in Section 3.3.

In section 2 we find sufficient conditions for the existence of a semiconjugacy with $m_d(z) = z^d$ in S^1. We also give a method to construct semiconjugacies, based on the rotation number, that applies always to covering maps of the circle. This leads to a classification up to conjugacy for coverings of the circle. At first, we tried to extend, at least in part, this classification to coverings of the annulus. The results obtained throughout this work show however, that a generalization is very difficult, even for maps having empty nonwandering set.

Within the facts proved here, those which may be more interesting, in our opinion, are:
The proof in section 2 of the existence of a rotation number for maps of the circle, and that this rotation induces the semiconjugacy with m_d (Proposition 1). This was applied to give a classification of maps of the circle (Theorem 1).
There exists a semiconjugacy between f and m_d if and only if f has an invariant trivial connector (see Definition 3, Corollary 8 and Corollary 9).

There exists a semiconjugacy if and only if there exists a free connector (Corollary 7 and Corollary 9).
Not every covering of the annulus is semiconjugate to m_d (Section 4).
The restriction to a normal attractor or to a basin of a normal attractor is semiconjugate to m_d (Section 4).
The map $p_d(z) = z^d$ defined in the punctured unit disc is not C^0 stable (Section 4).

There are few references to coverings of the annulus in the literature. The better known family of examples is given by:

$$(x, z) \in \mathbb{R} \times S^1 \mapsto (\lambda x + \tau(z), z^d),$$

where λ is a positive constant less than 1, and $\tau : S^1 \to \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function. The map with $\tau = 0$ was introduced by Przytycki ([Prz]) to give an example of a map that is Axiom A but not Ω-stable. Then Tsujii ([Tsu]) gave some examples having invariant measures that are absolutely continuous respect to Lebesgue. In [BKRU] the topological aspects of the attractor are studied and examples of hyperbolic attractors with nonempty interior are given. Note that in these examples the attracting sets cannot be normal, on account of the results of [IPRX] cited above.

In a subsequent work about coverings of the annulus we will investigate some questions relative to the existence of periodic cycles.

2. Sufficient conditions for the existence of semiconjugacies.

Throughout all this section, d will be an integer and $|d| > 1$ and m_d will be the self-covering of the circle $m_d(z) = z^d$. In this section we will show how to construct semiconjugacies of covering maps. The first method, an extension of the *rotation number* is first defined for circle maps of degree of absolute value greater than one. In the case of circle maps, the rotation number always exist, even if the map is not a covering. This immediately induces a semiconjugacy to $m_d(z)$. We will give some consequences to the classification of covering maps of the circle in the first subsection. In the second subsection, we will give some sufficient conditions for the existence of the rotation number for maps of the annulus and introduce the *shadowing argument*. In the final part of this section we introduce the *repeller argument*, a condition for the existence of semiconjugacies, that in subsequent sections is also seen to be necessary.

2.1. The rotation number.

Let f be a continuous self map of S^1 of degree d, with $|d| > 1$. The circle S^1 is considered here as $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ and its universal covering projection as the map $\pi_0 : \mathbb{R} \to S^1$, $\pi_0(x) = \exp 2\pi i x \in S^1$.

We begin by proving the following lemma, which is esentially the Shadowing Lemma for expanding maps.

Lemma 1. *Let $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a lift of f. There exists continuous map $H_F^+ : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $H_F^- : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties:*

1. $H_F^+(x+1) = H_F^+(x) + 1$, $H_F^-(x+1) = H_F^-(x) - 1$
2. $H_F^\pm F = dH_F^\pm$,
3. $|H_F^+(x) - x|$ *is bounded and* $|H_F^-(x) + x|$ *is bounded,*
4. $H_F^\pm(x) = \pm \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{F^n(x)}{d^n}$.

Proof. Consider the spaces $\mathcal{H}^+$ and $\mathcal{H}^-$ defined by

$$\mathcal{H}^\pm = \{H : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} : \ H \text{ is continuous and } H(x+1) = H(x) \pm 1, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

and endowed with the following metric: $d(H_1, H_2) = sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}}\{|H_1(x) - H_2(x)|\}$.

We do the proof for H_F^+, the other being similar. Note that $\mathcal{H}^+$ is a complete metric space. Let $T : \mathcal{H}^+ \to \mathcal{H}^+$ such that

$$T(H)(x) = \frac{H(F(x))}{d}.$$

So, $d(T(H_1), T(H_2)) \leq \frac{1}{|d|} d(H_1, H_2)$, implying that T is a contracting operator. Then, there exists $H_F^+ \in \mathcal{H}^+$ such that $T(H_F^+) = H_F^+$. Equivalently, $H_F^+ F = dH_F^+$. This proves (1), (2) and continuity of H_F^+. To see (3), note that the function $x \to H_F^+(x) - x$ defined on $\mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $\mathbb{Z}$-periodic, thus bounded:

$$H_F^+(x+k) - (x+k) = H_F^+(x) + k - x - k = H_F^+(x) - x.$$

To prove (4), using the previous item, it follows that there exists a constant C such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $|H_F^+(F^n(x)) - F^n(x)| < C$. Dividing by d^n we obtain $|\frac{H_F^+(F^n(x))}{d^n} - \frac{F^n(x)}{d^n}| < \frac{C}{d^n}$. As $H_F^+ F^n = d^n H_F^+$, then
$|H_F^+(x) - \frac{F^n(x)}{d^n}| < \frac{C}{d^n}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so $H_F^+(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{F^n(x)}{d^n}$. □

Define the *rotation number* of the point x and the lift F, denoted $\rho_F(x)$, as the limit in item (4). So this lemma proves that the rotation number exists for every continuous map f of the circle with degree $|d| > 1$ and is a continuous function of the point x. It is worth noting that the properties (1), (2) and (3) of the above lemma are applied to the rotation number, in particular it allows to show $\rho_F(x) + 1 = \rho_F(x+1)$ and $\rho_{F+J} = \rho_F + J/(d-1)$.

The condition $H(x+1) = H(x) + 1$ implies that H_F^+ induces a continuous h_F^+ with $(h_F^+)_*$ an isomorphism. Then h_F^+ is a semiconjugacy from f to m_d. The same for $H(x+1) = H(x) - 1$: this provides a semiconjugacy h_F^-. Next result proves that these are the unique possible semiconjugacies.

First two definitions: A map h in the circle is monotone if it has a monotone lift. A self-conjugacy of m_d is a homeomorphism of the circle c such that $cm_d = m_d c$.

Proposition 1. *Let f be a degree d map of the circle, where $|d| > 1$.*

1. *Every semiconjugacy h from f to m_d is the quotient map of a fixed point of the operator T.*
2. *If f is asumed to be a covering map, then every semiconjugacy is monotone.*
3. *If h_1 and h_2 are semiconjugacies from f to m_d, then there exists a unique c such that $h_1 = ch_2$, where c is a self-conjugacy of m_d.*

Proof. The first assertion follows because there exists a lift H of a semiconjugacy h that is fixed point of T; Moreover, as h_* is an isomorphism, then $H(x+1) = H(x) \pm 1$, so H belongs to one of the spaces $\mathcal{H}^+$ or to $\mathcal{H}^-$. To prove the second assertion, note that if f is a covering, then F is monotonic, and item (4) of Lemma 1 implies that the lift H of h is a monotonic function; it comes that h is monotonic in S^1. To prove the last assertion, we first compare h_F^+ with $h_{F'}^+$ when F and F' are lifts of f. Note that if F_0 is a lift of f, then every other lift is $F_j = F_0 + j$ where $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $HF_0 = dH$ and $j > 0$ then a simple calculation shows that $H_{F_0}^+ = H_{F_j}^+ + j/(d-1)$. Thus $h_{F_0}^+ = ch_{F_j}^+$, where $c(z) = \exp(2\pi ij/(d-1))z$, which

clearly commutes with m_d. To deal with the case of orientation reversing h, just note that $H_F^- = -H_F^+$, so $h_F^- = ch_F^+$, where c is complex conjugation. □

At the beginning of the next subsection we will explain when this construction can be carried on for annulus maps. But first we will use the proposition above to obtain some consequences for one dimensional dynamics.

A proper arc in S^1 is a closed arc with nonempty interior and nonempty exterior. If f is a covering map, and h a semiconjugacy to m_d, then h is monotonic, hence $h^{-1}(y)$ is either a point or a proper arc.

Definition 2. *Let f be a covering map of the circle and h a semiconjugacy to m_d. Define Λ_f as the set of points $x \in S^1$ such that $h^{-1}(h(x))$ is a proper arc (equivalently, $h^{-1}(h(x))$ does not reduce to $\{x\}$).*

Note that by item (3) of Proposition 1, this definition does not depend on the choice of h.

Some properties are:

(1) f is conjugate to m_d if and only if Λ_f is empty.
(2) Each component of Λ_f has nonempty interior, and this implies it has countable many components. It follows that I is a component of Λ_f, then I is equal to $h^{-1}(h(x))$ for some point x. Moreover f is injective in I, because $f(x) = f(y)$ with $x \neq y$ in I implies $f(I) = S^1$ as f is a covering. This contradicts the fact that $h(I)$ is constant and hence $h(f(I))$ is constant.
(3) Λ_f is completely invariant, meaning $f^{-1}(\Lambda_f) = \Lambda_f$. Indeed, the equation $hf(I) = m_d h(I)$ for an interval I implies that h is constant on I if and only if h is constant on $f(I)$. This implies that for I a component of Λ_f it holds that $f^n(I) \cap f^m(I) \neq \emptyset$ implies $f^n(I) = f^m(I)$.
(4) Note that $h(\Lambda_f)$ is completely invariant under m_d, so it is dense in S^1, but is not the whole circle since it is countable.
(5) If $x \in I$, where I is a (pre)-periodic interval of f, then $f^n(x)$ is assymptotic to a periodic orbit of f.

A first application of the existence of the semiconjugacy describes all the transitive covering maps of the circle. A map is transitive if there exists $x \in S^1$ such that its forward orbit is dense in S^1.

Corollary 1. *Let $f : S^1 \to S^1$ be a transitive covering map of degree $|d| > 1$. Then f is conjugate to m_d.*

Proof. Recall from property (1) above that f is conjugate to m_d if and only if $\Lambda_f = \emptyset$. Suppose that Λ_f contains a non-trivial interval I. Let $x \in S^1$ be such that its forward orbit is dense. Then, there exists $n \geq 0$ such that $f^n(x) \in I$ and $m > n$ such that $f^m(x) \in I$. It follows from item (3) of the properties of Λ_f above that I is a periodic interval, contradicting that the forward orbit of x is dense. □

The nonwandering set of every covering of f is defined as the set of points x such that for every neighborhood U of x there exists an integer $n > 0$ such that $f^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset$. The nonwandering set of f is denoted by $\Omega(f)$.

Corollary 2. *If f is a covering of the circle having degree d with $|d| > 1$, then the set of periodic points of f is dense in the nonwandering set.*

Proof. If x is a nonwandering point of f that is not periodic, then item (5) above implies that x does not belong to the interior of Λ_f. It follows that the image under h_f of a neighborhood U of x is a proper arc. As the m_d-periodic points are dense, it follows that the interior of $h(U)$ contains a periodic point, say p. Then the endpoints of $h_f^{-1}(p)$ must be periodic points of f, and are contained in U. □

The last application is a classification of coverings of the circle. The Classification Theorem, says, roughly speaking, that one can construct any degree d map f of the circle as follows: choose some orbits of m_d and open an interval in each one of them. If the orbit was wandering, nothing to do, and if the orbit was periodic, then choose a homeomorphism of the interval and insert it as the restriction of f to the first return to the interval. So the classification is in terms of the following data: given a map f, give the degree of f, the set $h_f(\Lambda_f)$, and an equivalence class of homeomorphisms of the interval for each periodic orbit of f that belongs to Λ_f. The construction is a little bit complicated since m_d has nontrivial self-conjugacies.

First look at the self conjugacies of m_d, that is, the set of homeomorphisms of the circle that commute with m_d.

Corollary 3. *Any semiconjuacy from m_d to m_d is a homeomorphism. The group G_d of self-conjugacies of m_d is isomorphic to D_{d-1}, the dihedral group.*

In other words, if $\{\alpha_j : 0 \leq j \leq |d-1|-1\}$ denote the $|d-1|$-roots of unity, c_j is the rotation of angle α_j and $\bar{c}(z) = \bar{z}$ is complex conjugacy, then the set of self conjugacies of m_d is the group generated by the c_j and $\bar{c}$.

Proof. Just apply Proposition 1 for the equation $hf = m_d h$, where $f = m_d$, and use the identity as a self-conjugacy. □

Note that the set $h_f(\Lambda_f)$ may contain a periodic orbit; in this case Λ_f contains a periodic interval. Assume I is a component of Λ_f such that $f^n(I) = I$. Then the restriction of f^n to I is conjugate to a homeomorphism of the interval. Let $\varphi_1 : I_1 \to I_1$ and $\varphi_2 : I_2 \to I_2$ be homeomorphisms, where I_1 and I_2 are closed intervals. Then φ_1 and φ_2 are equivalent if they are topologically conjugate. The quotient space is denoted by $\mathcal{H}$ and the class of a homeomorphism φ is denoted by $[\varphi]$. Then one may assign an element $[f^n|_I] \in \mathcal{H}$ to each periodic component I of Λ_f. As $[f^n|_I] = [f^n|_{f^j I}]$ for every $j > 0$, this correspondence depends on the orbit of the interval and not on the choice of I.

Given applications $\tau_i : \Lambda_i \to \mathcal{H}$, where Λ_i is a m_d completely invariant nontrivial subset of S^1 for $i = 1, 2$, say that τ_1 is equivalent to τ_2 if there exists $c \in G_d$ such that $c\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2$ and $\tau_1 = \tau_2 c|_{\Lambda_1}$. The equivalence class of τ is denoted by $[\tau]$.

Next we define the data $\mathcal{D}_f$ associated to f as follows:

(1) An integer of absolute value greater than one, the degree d_f of f.
(2) The class $[\tau_f]$, where $\tau_f : h_f(\Lambda_f) \to \mathcal{H}$ is defined as follows: first choose a semiconjugacy h_f from f to m_d, and for each $x \in h_f(\Lambda_f) \cap Per(m_d)$, $\tau_f(x) = [f^n|_{h_f^{-1}(x)}]$, where n is the period of x. When $x \in h_f(\Lambda_f)$ is not periodic, $\tau_f(x)$ is defined as the class of the identity. Note that by item (3) of Proposition 1 the class $\tau_f(x)$ does not depend on the choice of h_f.

Theorem 1. *Two covering maps f and g are conjugate if and only if $\mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_g$.*

Proof. Assume first that f and g are conjugate, and let h be a homeomorphism of S^1 such that $hf = gh$. It follows that each point z in S^1 has the same number of

preimages under f or g. This implies that the degree of f and g are equal. Next consider the semiconjugacies h_f and h_g such that $h_f f = m_d h_f$ and $h_g g = m_d h_g$, given by Lemma 1. Note that $h_g h$ is a semiconjugacy from f to m_d, so there exists $c \in G_d$ such that $h_f = c h_g h$. Then I is a component of Λ_f iff $h(I)$ is a component of Λ_g and $h(\Lambda_f) = \Lambda_g$. Moreover, $h_f(\Lambda_f) = c h_g(\Lambda_g)$, so if $z \in h_g(\Lambda_g)$ and $J = h_g^{-1}(z)$, then $I := h^{-1}(J)$ is contained in Λ_f. If z is periodic for m_d, with period n, then the restriction of g^n to J is conjugate to the restriction of f^n to I; moreover, as $c(z) = h_f(I)$, it follows that $\tau_f(c(z)) = \tau_g(z)$. The same equation holds trivially when $z \in h_g(\Lambda_g)$ is not periodic.

Assume now that $\mathcal{D}_f = \mathcal{D}_g$, take semiconjugacies h_f and h_g such that $h_f f = m_d h_f$ and $h_g g = m_d h_g$, and note that by hypothesis there exists a homeomorphism $c \in G_d$ such that $c(h_f(\Lambda_f)) = h_g(\Lambda_g)$ and $\tau_f = \tau_g c|_{h_f(\Lambda_f)}$. The conjugacy ψ between f and g will be obtained by solving the equation $c h_f = h_g \psi$.
It is claimed first that there exists a homeomorphism ψ satisfying this equation.

- If $x \notin \Lambda_f$, then $c h_f(x) \notin \Lambda_g$, so $h_g^{-1} c h_f(x)$ contains a unique point, define $\psi(x)$ as this unique point.
- If I be a component of Λ_f, then h_f is constant in I, say $h_f(I) = \{z\}$; but $c h_f(I) = h_g(J)$, for some interval J. Defining $\psi(I) = J$ in order that it is monotone, and as ψ is bijective, it comes that it is a homeomorphism.

But this ψ, carefulness defined, does not necessarily conjugate f and g: it was not used yet the fact that $[\tau_f] = [\tau_g]$.

The choice of ψ on components of Λ_f:
Let I be a component of Λ_f, and assume first that it is not (pre-)periodic. It is already known which interval J is the image of I under ψ, and J is also wandering for g. So choose any monotone ψ from I to J and then extend it to the f- grand orbit of I ($\cup_{n\in\mathbb{Z},\ m>0} f^{-n}(f^m(I))$) such that $g\psi = \psi f$. Repeat the proceeding for each grand orbit of a wandering interval.
Next assume that I is an f-periodic interval of period n. As above, there is an interval J in Λ_g, periodic for g, with period n that must be the image of ψ. By hypothesis, $\tau_f = \tau_g c$ implies that the restriction of f^n to I is conjugate to the restriction of g^n to J. Use this conjugacy to define ψ on I, and then extend to the whole orbit of I in order to satisfy $\psi f = g\psi$.

By construction, $c h_f = h_g \psi$; this implies $h_g \psi f = c h_f f = c m_d h_f = m_d c h_f = m_d h_g \psi = h_g g \psi$, so $\psi f = g\psi$ in the complement of Λ_f. In Λ_f, the equation holds by construction. □

The space $\mathcal{H}$ is uncountable, so the set of conjugacy classes of coverings of the circle is also uncountable. However, restricting to the class of maps having all its periodic points hyperbolic, we state the following question: How many equivalence classes of coverings are there? This question related to another one: If f has a wandering interval I, then $h(I)$ is a non pre-periodic orbit of m_d. Which non pre-periodic orbit of m_d is obtained as the image $h(I)$ for some f, h and $I \subset \Lambda_f$?

Under stronger assumptions wandering intervals are forbidden, giving:

Corollary 4. *The number of equivalence classes of C^2 covering maps of the circle all of whose periodic points are hyperbolic and critical points are non-flat is countable.*

Note that a C^2 covering f may have points z where $f'(z) = f''(z) = 0$.

Proof. The corollary follows by two strong theorems. On one hand, Mañé proved that under these hypotheses the set of attracting periodic orbits is finite. See Chapter 4 of [MS], where it is also proved that there cannot be wandering intervals in these cases. □

2.2. **The shadowing argument.** We have seen in the previous subsection some applications of the generalized rotation number for covering maps of the circle. The question now is to what extent this can be carried out in the annulus. Throughout this subsection, f is just a degree d ($|d| > 1$) continuous self map of the annulus.

Let A be the open annulus, $A = (0,1) \times S^1$ and f a degree d covering map of A. We will use some standard notations: the universal covering projection is the map $\pi : \tilde{A} = (0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \to A$ given by $\pi(x,y) = (x, \exp(2\pi i y))$. We will denote by F any lift of f to the universal covering, that is, F is a map satisfying $f\pi = \pi F$. Note that $F(x, y+1) = F(x,y) + (0,d)$. For a point $(x_0, y_0) \in \tilde{A}$, let $(x_n, y_n) = F^n(x_0, y_0)$, where $n \geq 0$. The following statement can be proved exactly as Lemma 1:

Lemma 2. *Let $f : A \to A$ be a continuous map of degree d, $|d| > 1$, and let $F : \tilde{A} \to \tilde{A}$ be a lift of f. Let K be a compact f-invariant ($f(K) \subset K$) subset of the annulus, and $\tilde{K} = \pi^{-1}(K)$. Then there exists a continuous map $H_F^+ : \tilde{K} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that:*

1. $H_F^+(x+1, y) = H_F^+(x,y) + 1$,
2. $H_F^+ F = d H_F^+$,
3. $|H_F^+(x,y) - y|$ *is bounded on* $\tilde{K}$,
4. $H_F^+(x) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{y_n}{d^n}$.

As in Lemma 1, there is also a continuous H_F^- satisfying symmetric properties.

As before, the quotient map of the function H_F^+, denoted h_F^+, is well defined in K because $H_F^+(x, y+1) = H_F^+(x,y) + 1$, and satisfies $h_F^+ f|_K = m_d h_F^+$.

Note that the existence of H depends in Lemma 2 on the existence of a compact invariant set; in particular, the *rotation number* of the point (x,y) and lift F, defined as the limit in item (4) of the lemma above, does not always exist.

However, if f extends to a map of the closed annulus, then:

Corollary 5. *If f is a degree d continuous self map of the closed annulus and $|d| > 1$, then f is semiconjugate to m_d on S^1.*

There is another kind of hypothesis implying the existence of a semiconjugacy.

Corollary 6. *If a lift F of f satisfies $\sup\{|y_1 - dy_0|\} < \infty$, where (x_0, y_0) is assumed to range over all of $\tilde{A}$, and (x_1, y_1) denotes the F image of (x_0, y_0), then there exists a semiconjugacy H satisfying properties (1) to (4) of the statement of Lemma 2.*

Proof. Define the space $\mathcal{H}_b$ as the set of continuous $H : \tilde{A} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $H(x, y+1) = H(x,y) + 1$ and require an additional condition:

$$\sup\{|H(x,y) - y| \ : \ (x,y) \in \tilde{A}\} < \infty.$$

Even that the functions H in this space are not bounded, the metric $d(H_1, H_2) = \sup |H_1(x,y) - H_2(x,y)|$ is well defined on $\mathcal{H}_b$, which becomes a complete metric space. Note that the hypothesis on F implies that the operator $T(H) = \frac{1}{d} HF$ is a contraction from $\mathcal{H}_b$ to itself. The proof follows as that of Lemma 1. □

We say that an open subset $U \subset A$ is *essential*, if $i_*(H_1(U,\mathbb{Z})) = \mathbb{Z}$, where $i_* : H_1(U,\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(A,\mathbb{Z})$ is the induced map in homology by the inclusion $i : U \to A$. We say that a subset $X \subset A$ is *essential* if any open neighbourhood of X in A is essential. Equivalently, a subset $X \subset A$ is essential if and only if it intersects every connector (see Definition 3 below).

Lemma 3. *If K is a compact essential subset of A, then for any lift F of f, the map $h_F^+ : K \to S^1$ is surjective.*

Proof. Extend h_F^+ to a continuous map $h : U \to S^1$, where U is a neighbourhood K. Note that h has degree 1, as it coincides with h_F over K, and $H_F(x+1) = H_F(x)+1$ on $\tilde{K}$. It follows that if γ is a simple closed nontrivial curve in U, then $h(\gamma) = S^1$, so h is surjective in U. Take $x \in S^1$ and a sequence of neighbourhoods of K, $U_n \subset U$ such that $\cap U_n = K$. For all $n \geq 0$ pick $x_n \in U_n$ such that $h(x_n) = x$. Take a convergent subsequence $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{n_k} = z \in K$. Then, by continuity $h(z) = x$, finishing the proof. □

It also follows that under the hypothesis of this lemma, H_F^+ is surjective in $\pi^{-1}(K)$.

As another application, let U be an open set homeomorphic to the annulus and $f : U \to f(U)$ a continuous map of degree d, $|d| > 1$. Assume that $\overline{f(U)} \subset U$ and let $K = \cap_{n\geq 0} f^n(U)$. Then $f|_K$ is semi-conjugate to m_d.

2.3. **The repeller argument.**

Definition 3. *A subset C of A is called a connector if it is connected, closed, and has accumulation points in both components of the boundary of A. In other words, given $r > 0$ there are points (x, y) and (x', y') in C with $x < r$ and $x' > 1 - r$. A connector is called trivial if is not essential.*

If f is a degree d covering map of the annulus, then each connected component of the preimage of a connector is a connector. The preimage of a trivial connector is equal to the disjoint union of $|d|$ trivial connectors.

Note also that just one connected component of the complement of a trivial connector contains a connector. This follows from the fact that the union of two disjoint connectors separates the annulus, and so the existence of two connectors in different components of the complement of C implies that C is disconnected. When C is trivial, the component of $A \setminus C$ that contains a connector is called the big component of the complement of C.

Definition 4. *An invariant connector C is repelling for a map f if there exists a neighborhood U of C such that $f(U)$ contains the closure of U and every point in U has a preorbit contained in U that converges to C. A connector C is called free for a covering map f if $f(C) \cap C = \emptyset$.*

Proposition 2. *If f is a degree d covering of the open annulus A having a trivial free connector, then there exist $|d-1|$ repelling connectors for f.*

Proof. Begin assuming $d > 0$. Let C be a trivial free connector and $C_1, \ldots, C_d$ the components of $f^{-1}(C)$. Denote by $D_1, \ldots, D_d$ the components of $A \setminus f^{-1}(C)$ that contain connectors (note that $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup \cdots \cup D_d$ is equal to the intersection of the big components of $A \setminus C_1, \ldots, A \setminus C_d$).

Moreover, for each $1 \leq i \leq d$, the set $f(D_i)$ is equal to the big component of $A \setminus C$. On the other hand, as C is free, it follows that $f^{-1}(C) \cap C = \emptyset$. Thus C is contained in some D_i, say D_d, to simplify. It follows that $f(D_i)$ contains the closure of D_i for all $1 \leq i \leq d-1$, but not for $i = d$.

Let $i \neq d$ and define $\tilde{D}_i$ as the intersection for $n \geq 0$ of the sets $D_i^{(n)} := \cap_{j=0}^{n} f^{-j}(D_i)$. Note that each $D_i^{(n)}$ is equal to a connected component of $f^{-n}(D_i)$, this follows easily by induction.

Then $\tilde{D}_i$ is a repelling connector:

(1) It is closed in the open annulus because for every $n > 0$ the closure of $D_i^{(n)}$ is contained in $D_i^{(n-1)}$.
(2) It is connected because each $D_i^{(n)}$ is connected and the sequence is decreasing.
(3) It is an invariant repeller by construction.

Next consider the case $d < -1$, and let $d_0 = -d$. The precedeing argument shows that there exists at least one invariant connector C'. To obtain the result, d_0 extra repelling connectors are needed. Let C_i denote the components of the preimage of C', so $f^{-1}(C') = C' \cup C_1 \cup C_2 \cdots \cup C_{d_0-1}$. This determines d_0 regions, each one of which satisfies $f(D_i) \supset \bar{D}_i$, excepting for two regions, say D_1 and D_2, that have C' in one of its boundary components. For these two components, it is only known that $f(D_i)$ contains D_i, but not its closure. However, using that d is negative, it holds that if $i = 1, 2$ then the closure of $D_i \cap f^{-1}(D_i)$ is disjoint with C', so $f(D_i)$ contains the closure of $D_i \cap f^{-1}(D_i)$. In any case ($1 \leq i \leq d_0$), the intersection $\cap_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(D_i)$ defines a repelling invariant connector, disjoint from C'. Thus we found $d_0 + 1 = |d - 1|$ repelling connectors. □

It also follows from the construction that the complement of each repeller $\tilde{D}_i$ is connected. This will be used in the next result.

Corollary 7. *Let f be a degree d covering of the open annulus and assume that there exists a trivial free connector. Then there exists a semiconjugacy between f and m_d in S^1.*

Proof. We prove it first for $d > 1$. By proposition 2 there exist $\tilde{D}_1, \ldots, \tilde{D}_{d-1}$ repellers, that are trivial. The set of $\tilde{D}_j$ as $1 \leq j \leq d-1$ is ordered in such a way that between two consecutive $\tilde{D}_j$ and $\tilde{D}_{j+1}$ there is no other $\tilde{D}_i$ (we consider counterclockwise orientation). Begin defining h in the repellers, in such a way that h is constant in each repeller, and equal to a repeller of m_d; in other words, $h(\tilde{D}_j) = exp(2\pi ij/(d-1))$. Consider the component Δ_j between $\tilde{D}_j$ and $\tilde{D}_{j+1}$. Let $\Delta_i^{(n)}$ denote the connected component of $A \setminus (\tilde{D}_i^{(n)} \cup \tilde{D}_{i+1}^{(n)})$ that is contained in Δ_i (recall the definition of the $\tilde{D}_i^{(n)}$ in the proof of the previous Proposition). It follows from the proof of the Proposition that $f(\Delta_i^{(n)})$ is equal to the complement in A of the preimage of the connector C that is contained in Δ_i. Therefore, any point in Δ_i has two f-preimages in Δ_i, while every point outside Δ_i has exactly one preimage in Δ_i. It follows that for each $1 \leq j \leq d-1$, the set $f^{-1}(\tilde{D}_j)$ has exactly one component in Δ_i. It follows that there exists a unique way of extending h to the preimage of the connectors in such a way that it still preserves the cyclic order and the semiconjugacy condition. One can argue as above to obtain that in each

component of the complement of $f^{-1}(\cup\tilde{D}_i)$ there exists exactly one component of $f^{-2}(\tilde{D}_j)$, and this holds for each j.

Recursively define h in $\cup_i f^{-k}(\tilde{D}_i)$. It is claimed now that h can be uniquely extended to a semiconjugacy from f to m_d.

Let x be arbitrary in the annulus and let $\epsilon = 1/d^n$ be given. Let U be a neighborhood of x not intersecting $\cup_{j=0}^{n} f^{-j}(\tilde{D}_i)$ for every i. Then the image of U under h has length less than ϵ. It follows that h is continuous. The condition h^* is an isomorphism follows by construction.

For $d < -1$, the unique difference is that the preimage of Δ_i has one component in each Δ_j with $j \neq i$, but none in Δ_i. Then the proceeding is the same. □

Corollary 8. *Let f be a degree d covering of the open annulus and assume that there exists an invariant trivial connector C ($f(C) = C$). Then there exists a semiconjugacy between f and m_d.*

Proof. The preimage of a trivial connector C has exactly $|d|$ components, each one of which is a trivial connector. So, if C is invariant, then $f^{-1}(C)$ is equal to the union of C plus $|d| - 1$ connectors $C_1, \ldots, C_{|d|-1}$. Each of the C_i is a trivial free connector, hence the previous corollary applies directly. □

Here we have an application:

Example. Let $f(x, z) = (\varphi(x), z^2 \exp(2\pi i/(1 - x)))$, where $\varphi(x)$ is a homeomorphism of $[0, 1]$ such that every point has ω-limit set equal to 1. Note that f cannot be extended to the closed annulus. However, using Corollary 8, there exists a semiconjugacy between f and m_2. Indeed, take $p \in A$ and a simple arc γ joining p and $f(p)$ such that $\gamma(t) = (x(t), z(t))$ with $x(t)$ an increasing function. So, $C = \cup_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\gamma_n$ is an invariant connector, where $\gamma_n = f^n(\gamma)$ for $n \geq 0$, and for $n < 0$, γ_n is defined by induction, beginning with γ_{-1} being the lift of $\gamma(1 - t)$ starting at x. Note that C is trivial, due to the choice of γ with increasing $x(t)$ and the formula for f.

3. Necessary conditions.

Throughout this section we will assume that there exists a semiconjugacy h between a covering map f of degree d ($d > 1$) of the annulus and $m_d(z) = z^d$ in S^1. It is as always assumed that the map h_* induced by h on homotopy is an isomorphism.

Lemma 4. *Let p and q be fixed points of f. The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *There exists a curve γ from p to q such that $\gamma_n(1) = q$ for every $n > 0$, where γ_n is the unique lift of γ by f^n that begins at p.*
2. *There exists a curve γ from p to q such that $f\gamma \sim \gamma$ where $\sim$ means homotopic relative to endpoints.*
3. *$h(p) = h(q)$.*

Proof. (1) implies (2): Let γ be as in (1). If $f(\gamma)$ is not homotopic to γ then $\beta = \gamma^{-1}.f(\gamma)$ is not null-homotopic. By hypothesis, the lift of β under f^n is the closed curve $\gamma_n^{-1}.\gamma_{n+1}$ for every $n \geq 0$; then the curve $\beta \neq 0$ belongs to $\cap_{j\geq 0} f_*^j(\mathbb{Z})$, where f_* is the induced map in homology. This cannot hold since f_* is multiplication by d.

(2) implies (1): If $f(\gamma)$ is homotopic to γ, then the final point of γ_1 is q, because $\gamma_1^{-1}.\gamma$ is the lift of the null- homotopic curve $\gamma^{-1}.f(\gamma)$. It follows also that $\gamma_1^{-1}.\gamma$ is

null-homotopic; hence, as γ_2 is the lift of of γ_1 that begins at p the same argument shows that $\gamma_2^{-1}.\gamma_1$ is null-homotopic. Then proceed by induction.
(3) implies (2): Let $\tilde{p}$ be a lift of p, F a lift of f that fixes $\tilde{p}$, and H a lift of H such that $HF = dH$. Then, $H(\tilde{p}) = 0$, and if $\tilde{q}$ is a lift of q, then $H(\tilde{q}) = l \in \mathbb{Z}$ because $h(p) = h(q)$. Then, $H(\tilde{q} - l) = H(\tilde{q}) - l = l - l = 0$. So, $F(\tilde{q} - l) = \tilde{q} - l$. It follows that if $\tilde{\gamma}$ is any arc joining $\tilde{p}$ and $\tilde{q} - l$, then $\gamma = \pi(\tilde{\gamma})$ is a curve from p to q such that $f(\gamma) \sim \gamma$.
(2) implies (3): Let γ be as in (2). Then, $h(\gamma) \sim hf(\gamma) = m_d h(\gamma)$. But $h(\gamma)$ joins $h(p)$ to $h(q)$, fixed points of m_d. So, $h(\gamma) \sim m_d h(\gamma)$ implies $h(p) = h(q)$. □

3.1. **Connectivity.** Denote by A^* the compactification of the annulus with two points, that is $A^* = A \cup \{N, S\}$. Considered with its usual topology, it is homeomorphic to the two-sphere. We will use the spherical metric in A^*.

Lemma 5. *Let h be a semiconjugacy between f and m_d. Then $h^{-1}(z)$ contains a connector, for every $z \in S^1$.*

Proof. Note that $h^{-1}(z)$ is a closed subset of the open annulus. Proving that the compact set $K = h^{-1}(z) \cup \{N, S\} \subset A^*$ contains a connector (that is, has a component containing N and S) is equivalent to the thesis of the lemma. By compactness of K, there exists a sequence $\mathcal{U}_n$ of finite coverings of K satisfying the following properties:

(1) Each element of $\mathcal{U}_n$ is an open disc.
(2) $\mathcal{U}_{n+1}$ is a refinement of $\mathcal{U}_n$.
(3) The distance from K to the complement of the union of the elements of $\mathcal{U}_n$ is less than $1/n$.

If K_n denotes the closure of the union of the elements of $\mathcal{U}_n$, then the distance from K to the complement of K_n is less than $1/n$, so the intersection of the K_n is equal to K. Moreover, each K_n is the union of a finite number of closed discs.

It is claimed claim now that K contains a connector if and only if any K_n does. One implication is trivial; to prove the other, assume that every K_n contains a connector. As each K_n has finitely many components, there exists a nested sequence of connectors $C_n \subset K_n$. Then $\cap_n C_n$ is a connector contained in K.

Therefore, if K does not contain a connector, then some K_n does not contain a connector, and as K_n is a finite union of closed discs, it is easy to find a closed nontrivial curve γ in the complement of K_n, thus in the complement of K. This is a contradiction since $h(\gamma) = S^1$ for every nontrivial γ because h_* is an isomorphism. □

The following result completed with Corollary 8 implies that f is semiconjugate to m_d if and only if there exists a nontrivial invariant connector.

Corollary 9. *With f as above, there exists an invariant trivial connector contained in $h^{-1}(1)$.*

Proof. Use the previous lemma to obtain a component C of $h^{-1}(1)$ that is a connector. Note that C is trivial because it is disjoint to a connector in $h^{-1}(z)$ for every z, $z \neq 1$. If C is not free, then it is invariant ($f(C) \subset C$), and if it is free, then the repeller argument (section 2) provides an invariant connector. □

We will use the following two propositions to construct an example of a $d:1$ covering of the annulus that is not semi-conjugate to m_d. Note that any lift H of the semiconjugacy h satisfies $H(x,y+1)=H(x,y)\pm 1$, since h_* is an isomorphism.

Proposition 3. *Given a compact set $L\subset(0,1)$ there exists a constant M such that $|H(x,y)-y|\leq M$ for every $x\in L$ and $y\in\mathbb{R}$ whenever H is a lift of h such that $H(x,y+1)=H(x,y)+1$.*

Proof. There exists a constant M such that $|H(x,y)-y|\leq M$ for every $(x,y)\in L\times[0,1]$; but $H(x,y+1)=H(x,y)+1$ implies that the same constant M bounds $|H(x,y)-y|$ for $x\in L$ and $y\in\mathbb{R}$. □

When $H(x,y+1)=H(x,y)-1$ the conclusion is changed to $|H(x,y)+y|\leq M$.

3.2. **Bounded preimages.** We note $\gamma_1\wedge\gamma_2$ the algebraic intersection number between two arcs in A whenever it is defined. In particular, when both arcs are loops, when one of the arcs is proper and the other is a loop or when both arcs are defined on compact intervals but the endpoints of any of the arcs does not belong to the other arc. For convention, we set $c\wedge\gamma=1$ if $c:(0,1)\to A$ and $\gamma:[0,1]\to A$ verify:

$$c(t)=(t,1),\ \gamma(t)=(1/2,e^{2\pi it}).$$

Note that the arc c is a connector whose intersection number with any loop in A gives the homology class of the loop.

If α is a loop in A, denote by $j\alpha$ the concatenation of α with itself j times.

Proposition 4. *Let f be a covering of the open annulus A and assume that f is semiconjugate to m_d. Then the following condition holds:*
(*) For each compact set $K\subset A$ there exists a number C_K such that: given $\alpha\subset A$ a simple closed curve, $n\geq 1$ and $j\in[1,\dots,d^{n-1}]$ then any f^n- lift β of $j\alpha$ with endpoints in K satisfies $|\beta\wedge c|\leq C_K$ whenever it is defined.

Proof. Let h be the semiconjugacy between f and m_d and let H and F be lifts of h and f verifying $HF=dH$ Assume that $H(x,y+1)=H(x,y)+1$. Take $a,b\in(0,1)$ such that the set $\tilde{K}=[a,b]\times\mathbb{R}$ contains $\pi^{-1}(K)$. By Proposition 3 above, there exists a constant M such that $|H(x,y)-y|\leq M$ whenever $(x,y)\in\tilde{K}$.

Take α, n, j and β as in the statement. Let $\tilde{\beta}$ be a lift of β to the universal covering. As the endpoints of β belong to K, then the extreme points (x_1,y_1) and (x_2,y_2) of $\tilde{\beta}$ belong to $\tilde{K}$. Note that it is enough to show that $|y_2-y_1|$ is bounded by a constant C_K. We will prove that this holds with $C_K=2M+1$.

Note that $F^n(x_1,y_1)$ and $F^n(x_2,y_2)$ are the endpoints of a lift of $j\alpha$ to the universal covering. This means that $|F^n(x_1,y_1)-F^n(x_2,y_2)|=(0,j)$. It follows that $|H(F^n(x_1,y_1))-H(F^n(x_2,y_2))|=j$.

Then,

$$|d^nH(x_1,y_1)-d^nH(x_2,y_2)|=|H(F^n(x_1,y_1))-H(F^n(x_2,y_2))|=j\leq d^n,$$

so $|H(x_1,y_1)-H(x_2,y_2)|\leq 1$. Finally, using that the endpoints of β belong to K, it follows that

$$|y_1-y_2|\leq|y_1-H(x_1,y_1)|+|H(x_1,y_1)-H(x_2,y_2)|+|H(x_2,y_2)-y_2|\leq 2M+1.$$

We assumed at the beginning that $H(x,y+1)=H(x,y)+1$, for the other possibility the proof is similar.

□

3.3. **Counterexample.** Now we construct f, a covering of the open annulus for which the condition (*) introduced in Proposition 4 does not hold. This implies that f is not semiconjugate to m_d.

Let $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $a_n \to 0$ when $n \to -\infty$ and $a_n \to 1$ when $n \to +\infty$. Define the annuli A_n as the product $[a_n, a_{n+1}] \times S^1$, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let also λ_n be the affine increasing homeomorphism carrying $[0,1]$ onto $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$. Define $f(x,z) = (\lambda_{n+1}(\lambda_n^{-1}(x)), z^2)$ for $x \in [a_n, a_{n+1}]$, for every $n \leq -1$, that is, $(x,z) \in \cup_{n<0} A_n$.

Assume f constructed until the annulus A_{n-2} for some n and we will show how to construct the restriction of f to A_{n-1}. We will suppose that $f(a_k, z) = (a_{k+1}, z^2)$ for every $k \leq n-1$ and every $z \in S^1$.

Let α be a curve in A_0 such that

(1) α joins $(a_0, 1)$ with $(a_1, 1)$.
(2) The lift α_0 of α to the universal covering that begins at $(a_0, 0)$, ends at (a_1, n).
(3) $\beta := f^{n-1}(\alpha)$ is simple.

Note that f^{n-1} is already defined in A_0. To prove that such an α exists, take first any α' satisfying the first and second conditions. Then $f^{n-1}(\alpha')$ is a curve joining $(a_{n-1}, 1)$ with $(a_n, 1)$. Maybe $f^{n-1}(\alpha')$ is not simple, but there exists a simple curve β homotopic to $f^{n-1}(\alpha')$ and with the same extreme points. Then define α as the lift of β under f^{n-1} that begins at the point $(a_0, 1)$.

Choose any simple arc β' disjoint from β and contained in A_{n-1}, joining the points $(a_{n-1}, -1)$ and $(a_n, -1)$. Note that $f^{-(n-1)}(\beta')$ is the union of 2^{n-1} curves, all of them disjoint from α. Choose any one of these curves and denote it by α'. Note that it does not intersect α. Observe that there is a lift α_0' of α' that begins in a point (a_0, t) and ends at $(a_1, n+t)$ in the universal covering. Then choose a point $Y \in \alpha'$ whose lift Y' in α_0' has second coordinate greater than n. Also choose a point X in α whose lift X' in α_0 has second coordinate less that $1/2$.

Observe that $f^{n-1}(X) \in \beta$ and $f^{n-1}(Y) \in \beta'$.

The complement of $\beta \cup \beta'$ in the interior of A_{n-1} consists of two open discs, each one of which homeomorphic to the complement of s in the interior of A_n, where s is the segment $\{(x,1) : a_n < x < a_{n+1}\}$. Then it is possible to take a homeomorphism from each of these components and extend it to the boundary in such a way that the image of β is s and the image of β' is also s, and carrying $f^{n-1}(X)$ and $f^{n-1}(Y)$ to the same point $p \in s$. If the homeomorphisms are taken carefully, they induce a covering f from A_{n-1} to A_n. Now take a simple essential closed curve γ contained in A_n and with base point p. Note that for some $j \in [1, \ldots, 2^{n-1}]$, the curve $j\gamma$ lifts under f^n to a curve joining X to Y. But the difference between the second coordinates of Y' and X' is greater than $n-1$. By the remark preceding Proposition 4, it follows that the intersection number of a lift of $j\gamma$ and the connector c in A_0 exceeds $n-1$. Taking $K = A_0$ in Proposition 4, note that $C_K \geq n-1$, and as this can be done for every positive n, it follows that f does not satisfy condition (*).

3.4. **Inverse limit.** Here we will define the inverse limit of a covering f and prove that if f has a fundamental domain, then its inverse limit is semiconjugate to the inverse limit of m_d. This shows that for the example given above, even that f is not semiconjugate to m_d, the semiconjugacy can be defined on inverse limits.

Definition 5. *The inverse limit set of a self map f of a topological space X (denoted X_f) is defined as the set of orbits of points in X, endowed with the product topology inherited from the countable product of X. If σ_f denotes the shift map on X_f, then σ_f is a homeomorphism onto X_f and if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\pi_n \sigma_f = f\pi_n$, where $\pi_n : X_f \to X$ denotes the projection onto the n^{th} coordinate. The inverse limit set of the map m_d in S^1 is denoted by S_d; the map $\sigma_d := \sigma_{m_d}$ is commonly known as a solenoid.*

Definition 6. *Let f be a covering of the annulus A. A fundamental domain for f is a compact essential annulus A_0 such that the following conditions hold:*

1. *each component of the boundary of A_0 is a simple closed curve,*
2. *every orbit of f hits at least once and at most twice in A_0, and*
3. *$f(A_0)$ does not intersect the interior of A_0.*

The fact that f is a local homeomorphism implies that the preimage of an annulus is an annulus (note that the preimage of a nontrivial simple closed curve is a simple closed curve, and the preimage of a trivial closed curve is the union of $|d|$ disjoint simple closed curves). Then, for every $n > 0$, $A_{-n} = f^{-n}(A_0)$ is an annulus, whose interior is disjoint to A_0.

Note that the nonwandering set of f in A is empty. To prove this, assume that x_0 is a nonwandering point. Then there exists an f-orbit of x_0, denoted $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$, contained in $\Omega(f)$. It follows there exists at least one $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $x_k \in A_0$. But a point in A_0 cannot be nonwandering.

Consider A^* as the compactification of A with two points N and S. Note that f extends to A^* fixing the boundary points (otherwise it would have nonwandering points in A).

For a simple nontrivial closed curve $L \subset A$ define S_L (resp. N_L) as the component of $A^* \setminus L$ that contains S (resp. N).

If L denotes a nontrivial simple closed curve in the interior of A_0 then $f^{-1}(L)$ is a nontrivial simple closed curve not intersecting L. It follows that $f^{-1}(L)$ is a subset either of S_L or of N_L. Assume that $f^{-1}(L) \subset S_L$. It follows that $f^{-n}(L) \subset S_{f^{-n+1}(L)}$ for every $n > 0$. Then $\cup_{n\geq 0} A_{-n}$ is equal to S_{L_0}, where L_0 denotes the common boundary of N_{A_0} and A_0. Obviously $L_{-1} = f^{-1}(L_0)$ is the common boundary of A_0 and S_{A_0}.

The preimage of a fundamental domain is also a fundamental domain, but not the image. For instance, take $p_d(z) = z^d$ in the punctured unit disc, $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, let γ be a simple closed curve (close to a circle) centered at the origin but that is not symmetric with respect to the origin, this means there exists a point $x \in \gamma$ such that $-x \notin \gamma$. Then $p_d^{-1}(\gamma) \cap \gamma = \emptyset$, and $p_d(\gamma)$ is not a simple curve. It follows that the set of points between γ and $p_d^{-1}(\gamma)$ is a fundamental domain but its image is not.

Proposition 5. *If a covering map f of the annulus has a fundamental domain, then σ_f is semiconjugate to σ_d, with d the degree of f.*

Here, a semiconjugacy is a continuous surjective map $h : A_f \to S_d$ such that $h\sigma_f = \sigma_d h$.

Proof. Let A_0 be a fundamental domain for f. Then σ_f has a fundamental domain $\bar{A}_0$ defined as the set of points $\bar{z}$ such that $z_0 \in A_0$. However, the map σ_d does not have a fundamental domain; note, for instance, that its nonwandering set is the

whole S_d. Then the image under a semiconjugacy of the fundamental domain of σ_f must be the whole S_d. It suffices to construct the semiconjugacy h in $\bar{A_0}$. One has to define functions $h_n : \bar{A_0} \to S^1$ to determine $h = \{h_n\}$. It begins with the choice of a surjective map from A_0 to S^1, the function h_0 will depend just on the 0-coordinate of a point $\bar{z} \in \bar{A_0}$. It is obvious how to define h_n for n positive, but for negative n, one has to determine regions where f^n is injective.

We proceed to do this. Take any simple arc γ_0 joining a point $x \in L_{-1}$ with the point $f(x) \in L_0$. The curve γ_0 is a connector of A_0. Then $f^{-1}(\gamma_0)$ is equal to the union of d simple arcs, each one of which is a connector of $A_{-1} = f^{-1}(A_0)$. We assume that these arcs are enumerated as $\gamma_0^1, \ldots, \gamma_{d-1}^1$, in such a way that γ_0^1 has an extreme point in x, and the extreme points of γ_j^1 in L_{-1} are counterclockwise ordered. By induction, we can define, for each positive n, a sequence $\{\gamma_j^n \ : \ 0 \leq j \leq d^n - 1\}$ of connectors of A_{-n}, such that γ_0^n has an extreme point in common with γ_0^{n-1}. Moreover, if the extreme point of γ_j^n in $f^{-n}(L_0)$ is denoted by x_j^n, then these points are counterclockwise oriented in the curve $f^{-n}(L_0)$. Note that the restriction of f^n to the open region D_j^n contained in $f^{-n}(A_0)$ and bounded by γ_j^n and γ_{j+1}^n is injective, for $0 \leq j \leq d^n - 1$. By convenience, we will denote $\gamma_{d^n}^n := \gamma_0^n$.

We will first define a function $\phi : A_0 \to S^1$ satisfying some conditions. The function ϕ will be used to define h_0; indeed, $h_0(\bar{z})$ will depend only on the value of z_0, and will be equal to $h_0(\bar{z})$ if $\bar{z}$ belongs to $\bar{A_0}$. The conditions imposed on ϕ are: 1. ϕ is continuous, 2. ϕ carries γ_0 to $\{1\}$. 3. ϕ carries the circle L_{-1} homeomorphically onto S^1 in such a way that $f(y) = f(y')$ if and only if $m_d(\phi(y)) = m_d(\phi(y'))$. The last assertion allows to define $\phi(f(y)) = m_d(\phi(y))$ whenever $y \in L_{-1}$.

To define h, begin with a point $\bar{z} = \{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ contained in A_f, and assume that $z_0 \in A_0 \setminus L_{-1}$. Assume also that $z_0 \notin \gamma_0$. Then, for each positive n, the point z_{-n} belongs to D_j^n for some (unique) j, $0 \leq j \leq d^n - 1$. Then let $h_{-n}(\bar{z})$ be the unique m_d^n-preimage of the point $\phi(z_0)$ that belongs to the arc in S^1 with extreme points $\exp(2\pi ij/d^n)$ and $\exp(2\pi i(j+1)/d^n)$.

Now, if z_0 belongs to γ_0, then z_{-n} belongs to some γ_j^n. Then define $h_{-n}(\bar{z}) = \exp(2\pi ij/d^n)$. For positive n, define $h_n(\bar{z}) = m_d^n(\phi(z_0))$.

The equation $\pi_n(h(\bar{z})) = h_n(\bar{z})$ defines a map from $\pi^{-1}(A_0) \cap A_f$ to S_d. By construction, each h_n is continuous, from which it follows that h is continuous. Note also that the image of this map is all S_d.

This concludes the definition of the restriction of h to the fundamental domain of σ_f.

To define h in the whole A_f, take any $\bar{z} \in A_f$, Then there exists a unique $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $z_k \in A_0 \setminus L_{-1}$. Define $h(\bar{z}) = \sigma_d^k(h(\sigma_f^{-k}(\bar{z}))$.

□

4. Basins.

Let f be an endomorphism of a surface M having an attracting set Λ which is normal (see definition in the introduction) and has degree $d > 1$; assuming that f has no critical points in the basin $B_0(\Lambda)$ of Λ, it comes that the restriction of f to the immediate basin of Λ is a covering of the same degree. Moreover, if C is a component of $B_0(\Lambda) \setminus \Lambda$, then C is an annulus and if C is invariant, then f is a covering of C (see [IPRX]). We will prove here that under these conditions, f in C is semiconjugate to m_d. We note that this is not an immediate consequence of Corollary 5 because the closure of C is not necessarily a closed annulus.

The following example is illustrating on the situation. The map $f(z) = z^2 - 1$ is a hyperbolic map of the two-sphere having a superattractor at ∞. The restriction of f to the basin of ∞ is a degree two covering map of the annulus $\mathbb{C} \setminus \hat{J}$ conjugate to $z \to z^2$ restricted to the exterior of the unit circle, where J is the Julia set of f and $\hat{J}$ is the filled Julia set. The restriction of f to the Julia set J (that is the boundary of the basin of ∞), is also a covering of degree two, but this map is not semiconjugate to m_2. The Julia set J is a curve, but is not a simple curve. Moreover, there exists a circle contained in J, that is tangent to its preimage, that is also a circle: this prevents the existence of a semiconjugacy to m_2 on the circle.

The results mentioned above, proved in [IPRX], imply that the Julia set of f cannot be the attracting set of a continuous map of the sphere. Indeed, if Λ is a normal connected attracting set, then there exists a basis $\mathcal{B}$ of neighborhoods of Λ, each homeomorphic to an annulus. Moreover each $U \in \mathcal{B}$ satisfies that the closure of $f(U)$ is contained in U.

Theorem 2. *If Λ is a normal attractor and f has no critical points in the closure of the basin of Λ, then:*
(a) the restriction of f to Λ is semiconjugate to m_d.
(b) the restriction of f to an invariant component C of $B_0(\Lambda)$ is also semiconjugate to m_d.

Proof. The first item was proved as an application at the end of subsection 2.2. To prove the second one, let U be an annular neighborhood of Λ such that the closure of $f(U)$ is contained in U. It is known that the union for $n > 0$ of the sets $f^{-n}(U) \cap B_0(\Lambda)$ equals the immediate basin $B_0(\Lambda)$ and is an open annulus, restricted to which f is a covering of degree d, with $|d| > 1$. By Lemma 2(taking $K = \bar{U}$) there exists a continuous $h : \bar{U} \to S^1$ such that $hf = m_d h$. If $(\tilde{A}, \pi)$ denotes the universal covering of $B_0(\Lambda)$, then there exist a lift $H : \pi^{-1}(\bar{U}) \to \mathbb{R}$ and a lift F of f such that $HF|_{\pi^{-1}(\bar{U})} = dH$ and $H(x, y+1) = H(x, y) + 1$. Note that F is a homeomorphism of $\tilde{A}$ and that $\pi^{-1}(\bar{U})$ is F-invariant, so there exists a unique continuous extension H' of H to $\tilde{A}$ that satisfies $H'F = dH'$. Therefore the quotient map of H is a semiconjugacy h between f and m_d.

□

Two homeomorphisms may have homeomorphic basins without being conjugate. However, when restricted to the trivial dynamics in $B \setminus \Lambda$ (where λ is the attractor and B the basin), the fact that two fundamental domains are homeomorphic implies that the maps are conjugate. This is not true for coverings in general. We will consider the map $p_d(z) = z^d$ as acting in $\mathbb{D}^* = \mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ (we use the notation m_d for $z \to z^d$ acting on S^1).

Example 1. There exists a covering $f : [0,1] \times S^1 \to [0,1] \times S^1$, admitting a fundamental domain, but whose restriction to $(0,1) \times S^1$ is not conjugate to p_d. Given any degree d covering g of S^1 that is not conjugate to m_d, the map $f : [0,1] \times S^1 \to [0,1] \times S^1$ given by $f(x,z) = (x^2, g(z))$ is not conjugate to p_d. As g is not conjugate to m_d, there exists a periodic or wandering arc $(a,b) \subset S^1$. Let $\Delta = \{(x,z) \in (0,1) \times S^1 : \ z \in (a,b)\}$ and B a closed disc contained in Δ. Assume there exists a conjugacy H between f and p_d. Note that $f^n(B)$ is a disc for every $n > 0$, because f^n is injective in Δ. On the other hand, $H(B)$ is a disc and so p_d^n

is not injective in $H(B)$ for every large n. This is a contradiction.

Example 2. There exists a map $f : [0,1] \times S^1 \to [0,1] \times S^1$ with fundamental domain, but it is not semiconjugate to p_d.
Note that f is defined in the closed annulus which implies that it is semiconjugate to m_d.
Let $f(x,z) = (\phi(x,z), z^d)$, where ϕ will be determined. The condition to be imposed on f is the following: there exists a point P such that the union for $n > 0$ of the sets $f^{-n}(f^n(P))$ is dense in an essential annulus $A_0 \subset A$. Assume that there exists a semiconjugacy h between f and p_d. This means that $hf = p_d h$, that h is surjective and is an isomorphism on first homotopy group. It follows that $h(A_0)$ must be a nontrivial circle. If, in addition, the annulus A_0 is a fundamental domain for f, then the range of h will be a countable union of circles, hence h is not surjective, a contradiction.

Let $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be an increasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $a_n \to 0$ when $n \to -\infty$ and $a_n \to 1$ when $n \to +\infty$. Define the annuli A_n as the product $[a_n, a_{n+1}] \times S^1$, for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let also λ_n be the linear increasing homeomorphism carrying $[0,1]$ onto $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$.

The construction of ϕ depends on two sequences. First let $\bar{z} = \{z_n : n < 0\}$ be a preorbit of 1 under m_d, that is, $m_d(z_n) = z_{n+1}$ for $n < -1$, and $m_d(z_{-1}) = 1$, and assume also that $z_{-1} \neq 1$, which implies that the z_n are all different. Then take an element $\bar{\nu} = \{\nu_n\}_{n<0} \in (0,1)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

By appropriately choosing the function ϕ, it will come that the map f will be such that, for some $P \in A_0$, the set $f^{-n}(f^n(P))$ contains the point $(\lambda_0(\nu_{-n}), z_{-n})$ for every $n > 0$.

It is clear that the sequences $\bar{\nu}$ and $\bar{z}$ can be chosen in order to make the set $\cup_{n>0} f^{-n}(f^n(P))$ dense in A_0, which is a fundamental domain for f in A.

Fix a point $P = (\lambda_0(1/2), 1)$ in the annulus A_0. To define ϕ we will use the sequences $\bar{z}$ and $\bar{\nu}$. The definition of ϕ is by induction beginning in the annulus A_0. Note that ϕ must carry the annulus A_n into the segment $[a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}]$. For each $z \in S^1$, note that $\phi_z(x) := \phi(x,z)$ is a homeomorphism from the segment $[a_n, a_{n+1}]$ onto the segment $[a_{n+1}, a_{n+2}]$.

We will first define ϕ_1 in its whole domain, and then, by induction on k, the restriction of ϕ to A_k.

First define $\phi_1(x)$: for $x \in [a_n, a_{n+1}]$ let $\phi_1(x) = \lambda_{n+1}(\lambda_n^{-1}(x))$, that is, ϕ_1 is affine, the image of P under f^k is equal to $(\lambda_k(1/2), 1)$.

Also define $\phi_{z_{-1}}(\lambda_0(\nu_{-1})) = \lambda_1(1/2)$, this is the only condition asked for this map. It is obvious that ϕ can be extended to the annulus A_0 so as to satisfy this unique condition (it is used, of course, that $z_{-1} \neq 1$).

Next let $k > 0$, and define ϕ in A_k assuming it is already known in A_{k-1}. Of course, f is also defined in $A_0 \cup \cdots \cup A_{k-1}$ and one can iterate f^k at points in A_0, in particular the image of the point $(\lambda_0(\nu_{-k-1}), z_{-k-1}) \in A_0$ under f^k is a point having second coordinate z_{-1}, denote it by (x_k, z_{-1}) in A_k. Next extend ϕ to A_{k+1} in order to satisfy only one condition: $\phi_{z_{-1}}(x_k) = \lambda_{k+1}(1/2)$.

As was pointed out above, $f^n(P) = (\lambda_n(1/2), 1)$ for every $n \geq 0$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f^n(\lambda_0(\nu_{-n}), z_{-n}) &= f(f^{n-1}(\lambda_0(\nu_{-n}), z_{-n})) = f(x_{n-1}, z_{-1}) \\ &= (\phi_{z_{-1}}(x_{n-1}), m_d(z_{-1})) = f^n(P), \end{aligned}$$

as required.

□

We finish this work with another negative result, negative in the direction of a possible classification of covering maps of the annulus.

Consider the Whitney (or strong) C^0 topology in the space of covering maps of the annulus, defined as follows: if $f \in Cov(A)$ and $\epsilon : A \to \mathbb{R}^+$ is a continuous function, then the $\epsilon-$neighborhood of f is

$$\mathcal{N}_\epsilon(f) = \{g \in Cov(A) \ : \ d(g(x), f(x)) < \epsilon(x) \ \forall x \in A\}$$

where d is any fixed distance compatible with the topology of A. The notation for the space of C^0 maps endowed with this topology is $C^0_W(A)$.

Definition 7. *A map $f \in Cov(A)$ is $C^0_W(A)$-stable if there exists a C^0_W-neighborhood of f such that every map g in this neighborhood is conjugate to f.*

Theorem 3. *The map $p_d(z) = z^d$ is not $C^0_W(A)$-stable if A is the punctured unit disc $A = D^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \ : \ 0 < |z| < 1\}$.*

Some remarks before the proof:
1. The distance d is the Euclidean distance in $\mathbb{D}$.
2. If $g \in Cov(A)$ belongs to a neighborhood of p_d such that $\epsilon(x) \to 0$ as $x \to \partial A$, then $g(z_n) \to p_d(z)$ whenever $\{z_n\}$ is a sequence in A converging to a point $z \in \partial A$. Thus g extends continuously to the boundary, where it coincides with p_d.
3. Note that p_d is not C^0 stable, when one considers weak topology (define neighborhoods as above but with ϵ equal to a constant). This is obvious since one can create periodic points in A.
4. It is well known that the restriction of p_d to its Julia set is C^1 stable. Moreover, the restriction of p_d to $A = \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is C^1_W stable (see [IPR], Corollary 4).
5. Note that a homeomorphism having a hyperbolic attractor Λ is C^0_W stable when restricted to an invariant component of $B(\Lambda) \setminus \Lambda$. Indeed, the definition of the conjugacy can be made in a fundamental domain and then extended to the future and the past. The same construction is not possible for noninvertible maps: note, for example, that the image of a fundamental domain is not necessarily a fundamental domain.
6. Note that the theorem also implies that if $A = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bar{\mathbb{D}}$, then p_d is not $C^0_W(A)$ stable.

Proof. We will use $f = p_2$ and prove this case, the generalization to arbitrary degree being obvious. Then the function to be perturbed is $f(x \exp(it)) = x^2 \exp(2it)$, where x is positive and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We will find a perturbation g of f having an invariant set with nonempty interior where g is injective. This does not exist for f.

First the perturbation of $t \in \mathbb{R} \to 2t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let ρ and ρ' be positive numbers such that $\rho' \leq \rho$. Then there exists an increasing continuous function $\phi = \phi_{\rho,\rho'} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that $\phi((-\rho, \rho)) = (-\rho', \rho')$, $\phi_0(0) = 0$ and $|\phi(t) - 2t| \leq 2\rho - \rho'$ for every t. Moreover, $\phi(t) = 2t$ whenever $|t| > 2\rho$. Moreover, one can ask the function $(t, \rho, \rho') \to \phi_{\rho,\rho'}(t)$ to be continuous.

Let ϵ be any positive continuous function defined in $\mathbb{D}^*$. Note that $\epsilon' \leq \epsilon$ implies that the ϵ'-neighborhood of f is contained in the ϵ-neighborhood of f. So it can be assumed that for some positive δ, the function ϵ satisfies $\epsilon(x \exp(it)) = \epsilon(x)$ whenever x is positive and $|t| < \delta$. Then assume also $\epsilon(x) < \delta$.

It is claimed now that there exists a continuous function $\rho : (0,1) \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $2\rho(x) < \epsilon(x)$ for every $x \in (0,1)$ and $\rho(x^2) < \rho(x)$ for every $x \leq 1/2$. Indeed, first define $\rho(x)$ in the interval $[1/4, 1/2]$ so that $\rho(x) < 1/2\epsilon(x)$ and $\rho(1/4) < \rho(1/2)$. Then define ρ for $x \in [1/16, 1/4]$, so as to satisfy $\rho(x) < \rho(\sqrt{x})$ and $\rho(x) < 1/2\epsilon(x)$. Then use induction to define it in the remaining fundamental domains of the action of $x \to x^2$ in $(0, 1/2]$. It is clear that ρ can be continuously extended to the whole interval $(0,1)$ so as to satisfy $2\rho(x) < \epsilon(x)$.

Next proceed to the definition of g, a particular perturbation of f. Define

$$g(x\exp(it)) = x^2 \exp(i\phi_{\rho(x),\rho(x^2)}(t)).$$

Note first that g is continuous, and defines a covering of the annulus, because the functions ϕ used in its definition are all increasing. Moreover, $z = x\exp(it)$ implies $f(z) = g(z)$ if $|t| > 2\rho(x)$, in particular, if $|t| > \delta$. For other values of t ($|t| \leq \delta$), and any $x \in (0,1)$, it comes that:

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z) - f(z)| &= x^2 |\exp(i\phi_{\rho(x),\rho(x^2)}(t) - \exp(2it)| \leq x^2 |\phi_{\rho(x),\rho(x^2)}(t) - 2t| \\ &< 2\rho(x) - \rho(x^2) < 2\rho(x) < \epsilon(x) = \epsilon(z) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore g belongs to the ϵ-neighborhood of f. It remains to show that g is not conjugate to f. Note that the set $R := \{x\exp(it) \ : \ x < 1/2, \ |t| < \rho(x)\}$ is forward invariant under g, because $g(x\exp(it)) = x^2\exp(i\phi(t))$ and $|\phi(t)| < \rho(x^2)$ if $|t| < \rho(x)$. But g is injective on R, and R has nonempty interior. Thus f and g cannot be conjugate. □

5. Some final comments and questions.

The problem of classifying coverings is very complicated, we cannot even imagine a classification of a neighborhood of the "simplest" map p_d. One simple question, whose answer we still don't know is if every Whitney C^0 perturbation of p_d has a covering by fundamental domains. In the perturbation made above, the invariant foliation by circles centered at the origin is preserved.

It may be easy to see that no covering of A of degree $|d| > 1$ is $C^0_W(A)$ stable, but we won't give a proof of this here.

The question of the existence of periodic points for covering maps of the annulus will be considered in a following article. For example it will be proved that a covering of degree greater than one having an invariant continuum must have fixed points.

It is natural to consider the rotation number for coverings of the annulus as defined in section 2.1. That is, given a lift $F : (0,1) \times \mathbb{R} \to (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}$ of f, take a point $(x_0, y_0) \in (0,1) \times \mathbb{R}$ and define

$$\rho(x_0, y_0) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{y_n}{d^n},$$

whenever this limit exists, and where $(x_n, y_n) = F^n(x_0, y_0)$. What conclusions can be drawn if, for example, this limit exists for every point? Does it necessarily define a continuous function?

References

[AK] D. V. Anosov, A. B. Katok. New examples in smooth ergodic theory. Ergodic diffeomorphisms, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 23 (1970), 1-35.

[BCLP] F. Béguin, S. Crovisier, F. LeRoux, A. Patou. Pseudo-rotations of the closed annulus: variation on a theorem of J. Kwapisz. Nonlinearity, 17 (2004), 1427-1453.

[BCL] F. Béguin, S. Crovisier, F. LeRoux. Pseudo-rotations of the open annulus. Bull. Braz. Math. Soc. (N.S.) , 37 (2006), no. 2, 275-306.

[BKRU] R.Bamón, J.Kiwi, J.Rivera-Letelier, R.Urzúa. On the topology of solenoidal attractors of the cylinder. Ann. I. H. Poincaré 23 (2006), 209-236.

[FatHe] A. Fathi, M. Herman. Existence de difféomorphismes minimaux. Dynamical Systems, Vol.I-Warsaw, Astérisque, Soc. Math. France, 49 (1984), 37-59.

[FayK] B. Fayad, A. Katok. Constructions in elliptic dynamics. Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems, 24 (2004), no 5, 1477-1520.

[FayS] B. Fayad, M. Saprykina. Weak mixing disc and annulus diffeomorphisms with arbitrary Liouville rotation number on the boundary. Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., (4) 38 (2005), 339-364.

[Ha] M. Handel.A pathological area preserving C^∞ diffeomorphism of the plane. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 86 (1982), no 1, 163-168.

[He] Herman, Michael. Construction of some curious diffeomorphisms of the Riemann sphere. J. London Math. Soc. (2) 34 (1986), no. 2, 375-384.

[IPR] J. Iglesias, A. Portela and A. Rovella. Structurally stable perturbations of polynomials in the Riemann sphere. Ann. de l'Inst. H. Poincaré, (C) (2009) vol 25, no. 6, 1209-1220

[IPRX] J. Iglesias, A. Portela, A. Rovella. and J. Xavier. Attracting sets on surfaces. to appear in Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.

[MS] W. de Melo and S. van Strien One -Dimensional Dynamics. Springer-Verlag (1993)

[Poin] H. Poincaré. Mémoire sur les courbes définies par une équation différentielle. J. Math. Pure. Appl., Série IV, 1:167244, 1885.

[Prz] F. Przytycki. On Ω-stability and structural stability of endomorphisms satisfying Axiom A. Studia Mathematica 60, (1977), 61-77.

[Tsu] M.Tsujii. Fat solenoidal attractors. Nonlinearity 14 (2001), 1011-1027.

J. Iglesias, Universidad de La República. Facultad de Ingenieria. IMERL. Julio Herrera y Reissig 565. C.P. 11300. Montevideo, Uruguay

E-mail address: jorgei@fing.edu.uy

A. Portela, Universidad de La República. Facultad de Ingenieria. IMERL. Julio Herrera y Reissig 565. C.P. 11300. Montevideo, Uruguay

E-mail address: aldo@fing.edu.uy

A. Rovella, Universidad de La República. Facultad de Ciencias. Centro de Matemática. Iguá 4225. C.P. 11400. Montevideo, Uruguay

E-mail address: leva@cmat.edu.uy

J. Xavier, Universidad de La República. Facultad de Ingenieria. IMERL. Julio Herrera y Reissig 565. C.P. 11300. Montevideo, Uruguay

E-mail address: jxavier@fing.edu.uy